

Marking Scheme Criteria

Criteria	Allocation	Explanation
Relevance to Justice and the "Belt & Road" Region	20%	(i) Is the solution relevant to promoting justice in the Belt & Road Region? (ii) Is the solution expected to make a significant positive impact on improving access to justice for the target users? (iii) Is a large or small group of people expected to benefit from this solution?
Practicality & Feasibility	25%	(i) Does the project practically address the core issue(s) of the scenario, as defined by the team? (ii) Will the solution create extra efficiencies or burdens for lawyers and/or clients? (iii) Does the team show a firm grasp of the underlying legal, technological and operational challenges? Is the understanding backed by any external validation?
Innovation & Design	20%	(i) Is the solution unique or relatively original in the target market? (ii) If there is similar product or service on the market, does the solution clearly and meaningfully innovate beyond those existing product or service? (iii) Is the solution intuitive and will target users find it user-friendly?
Tech. Proficiency	20%	(i) Does the solution make effective and appropriate use of technology? E.g. how well does it integrate and leverage the benefits of its key technology, e.g. Chatbot, cloud computing, big data etc. (ii) Is the solution a comprehensive technology design? Or is this part of a larger solution? (iii) Has the team thoroughly considered the ongoing support costs and requirements of implementing the solution? *Bonus points to teams adopting advance technologies in their solutions e.g. real-time analytics, machine learning, natural language processing etc.
Prototype & Pitch	15%	(i) Does the prototype and pitch clearly illustrate the solution, core functions and features as they relate to the defined problem? (ii) Does the team deliver a smooth and clear demonstration? (iii) Does the team satisfactorily answer the 5 key pitch questions?
Total	100%	